

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patcht and Trademark Office Address COMMISS ONER FOR PATENTS P.O. 20, 150 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 WWW.5004.200

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/014,773	/014,773 12/11/2001		Hannu Konttinen	413-010727-US(PAR)	9944		
2512	7590	03/20/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
PERMAN 425 POST I		N	LUDWIG, M	LUDWIG, MATTHEW J			
FAIRFIELI		824	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•		2178				
			DATE MAIL ED. 02/20/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

									
•		Applica	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			,773	KONTTINEN, HA	NNU				
			er	Art Unit					
			v J. Ludwig	2178					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	ation appears on	he cover sheet with th	ne correspondence ad	ddress				
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA assions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communing period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply with epily received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no nication. tory period will apply and II, by statute, cause the a	THIS COMMUNICAT event, however, may a reply b I will expire SIX (6) MONTHS full of the spire SIX (ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control					
Status									
1)[X]	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 25 January 2	206						
·		on <u>20 oandary 20</u> o)⊠ This action is							
′=		•		prosecution as to th	a marite is				
٥,١	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖾	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the app	plication.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.								
-									
		on ana/or ciconor	roquirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	ne correction is req	uired if the drawing(s) is	objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to t	by the Examiner.	Note the attached Off	fice Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo ☐ All b)	r foreign priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).					
uγι		ncuments have h	en received						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* 0	• •	•	, ,,	shood					
	ee the attached detailed Office action	ioi a list oi the ce	runeu copies not rece	aveu.					
Attachment	(s)								
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) 🔲 Interview Summ						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC		Paper No(s)/Mai		0.453\				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT 'No(s)/Mail Date	ГО/SB/08)	6) Other:	al Patent Application (PT	U-10 <i>2)</i>				

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in response to the RCE filed 1/25/06.
- 2. Claims 1-14 are pending in the application. Claims 1, 7, and 14, are independent claims.
- 3. Claims 1-14 rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Warnock in view of Bricklin have been withdrawn as necessitated by the amendment.

Claim Objections

4. Independent claim 1 recites the phrase 'A method for reading text on hypertext pages, in which *method* received pages'. The second use of the word *method* does not need to be in the claim. The presently claimed phrase fails to clearly describe applicant's invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rivette et al., USPN 5,623,679 filed (4/18/1995) in view of Warnock et al., USPN 5,634,064 filed (8/2/1996).

In reference to independent claim 1, Rivette teaches:

Figure 10 illustrates a preprocessing of pages in order to display the text portion to a user for a method of extracting, synchronizing, displaying, and manipulating text and image

,

Page 3

documents in electronic form (compare to "preprocessing the pages in order to display the text portion in them"). See Rivette, Figure 10.

Figure 30 illustrates a display divided into a navigation pane and a read pane. The two panes contain location identifiers for various sections of the equivalent file displayed (compare to "dividing the display into a navigation pane and a read pane"). See Rivette, figure 30.

The third limitation contains the phrase 'overall view'. The examiner fails to see how this description of a view distinguishes over the suggested view presented in Figure 30 of Rivette.

The reference provides an overall view of the document in the navigation pane or the left pane.

See Rivette, figure 30. The examiner believes the reference provides a suggestion of an overall view, as presently claimed, with the view of the patent document presented within figure 30.

As presently claimed, the language specific rules fail to distinguish over the prior art reference to Rivette. Without any further mention of the language specific rules within the claim, the language fails to preclude the examiner from utilizing the patent numbers illustrated in figure 30 as a way to search the text, based upon language specific rules. The language specific rules would have been taught by the information found in the patent number 4,760,478 Column: B1 of 6. Finally, the limitation fails to state how the searching is being accomplished or if the machine, user, or a parser performs the searching.

4,760,478 Column: 3 of 6, as illustrated in figure 31 is selectable by the user (compare to "selecting the text portion between the start element and end element as the reading portion and placing the selected portion on the read pane"). See Rivette, figure 31. After selecting a specific portion of the document the text is presented to the user in the read pane. The start and

Art Unit: 2178

element as presently claimed is suggested by the document that is brought into focus after the selection has been made.

Finally, the reference provides arrow keys and drop down menus for searching new start and end elements. See Rivette, figure 31. However, the reference fails to explicitly state searching for a new start element and end element if a shift command is received. Warnock provides a type of scroll that allows a reader to scroll around in the current page. The computer's operating system or the presentation manager typically controls this. The scrolling mechanism allows the user to shift through the document based on simple keyboard features. The scrolling mechanism provides a similar result as that of a search for new start element and end element if a shift command is received. Furthermore, the reference discloses the employment of a hot key for providing article properties. The utilization of the shift key to implement the functions of a hot key was well known in the art at the time the invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Rivette and Warnock before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the search methods of Rivette to include hot keys to shift through any type of patent document, because it would have allowed the user enhanced readability of specific patent sections.

In reference to dependent claim 2, Rivette teaches:

Figure 36 allows for start element to be defined within a drop down menu. This provides the user an efficient way to select and locate text within the patent document. See Rivette, figure 36. A user could base the start element on punctuation mark, number, text, etc.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,773 Page 5

Art Unit: 2178

In reference to dependent claim 3, Rivette teaches:

Figure 36 allows for start element to be defined within a drop down menu. This provides the user an efficient way to select and locate text within the patent document. See Rivette, figure 36. A user could base the start element on punctuation mark, number, text, etc.

In reference to dependent claim 4, Rivette teaches:

The reference provides arrow keys and drop down menus for searching new start and end elements. See Rivette, figure 31. However, the reference fails to explicitly state searching for a new start element and end element if a *shift command is received*. Warnock provides a type of scroll that allows a reader to scroll around in the current page. The computer's operating system or the presentation manager typically controls this. The scrolling mechanism allows the user to shift through the document based on simple keyboard features. The scrolling mechanism provides a similar result as that of a search for new start element and end element if a shift command is received. Furthermore, the reference discloses the employment of a hot key for providing article properties. The utilization of the shift key to implement the functions of a hot key was well known in the art at the time the invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, having the teachings of Rivette and Warnock before him at the time the invention was made, to modify the search methods of Rivette to include hot keys to shift through any type of patent document, because it would have allowed the user enhanced readability of specific patent sections.

Art Unit: 2178

In reference to dependent claim 5, Rivette teaches:

Figure 36 allows for start element to be defined within a drop down menu. This provides the user an efficient way to select and locate text within the patent document. See Rivette, figure 36. A user could base the start element on punctuation mark, number, text, etc.

In reference to dependent claim 6, Rivette teaches:

Figure 31 illustrates the selected portion being determined by column: 3 of 6. This suggests the reading portion being a selectable parameter. Furthermore, the arrow keys beside the patent also suggest a means of selecting a parameter being read. See Rivette, figure 31.

In reference to claims 7-14, the claims recite similar limitations to those recited in claims 1-6. In view of the following, the claims are rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-14 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Matthew J. Ludwig whose telephone number is 571-272-4127. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen Hong can be reached on 571-272-4124. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/014,773

Art Unit: 2178

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ML

March 13, 2006

STEPHEN HONG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 7